

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Final Rejection dated March 17, 2005 the Examiner stated that "an optimal phase" is not supported in the specification. Despite the arguments presented in the Response filed June 20, 2005, the Examiner's stated position was maintained in the Advisory Action of July 12, 2005.


The set of claims has been revised hereinabove to replace "an optimal phase" with "an ideal phase". Clear support can be found in the specification for "an ideal phase". The Examiner's attention is respectfully directed to pages 20 and 21 of the specification.

The claims are clearly allowable over the prior art. Accordingly, prompt and favorable action to this effect is respectfully solicited.

Any additional fees or charges required at this time in connection with the application may be charged to our Patent and Trademark Office Deposit Account No. 03-2412.

Respectfully submitted,

COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE

By 
Thomas Langer
Reg. No. 27,264
551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210
New York, N.Y. 10176
(212) 687-2770

August 16, 2005